

**ICDS Social Audit Report**  
**GP Pachira, Block Raniganj, District Araria**  
**27<sup>th</sup> May – 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2011**

Report Prepared (for the social audit team) by:

Ashish Ranjan

kamayani swami

Vibhore Vardhan

Contact Information:

A-5, Siddharth Aprtment, Jagdeo Path,

Bailey Road, Patna -14. Bihar

Phone: 9771950248/ 9973363664; Email: [kamayani02@yahoo.com](mailto:kamayani02@yahoo.com); [ashish.ranjanjha@gmail.com](mailto:ashish.ranjanjha@gmail.com)

## Contents

1. Introduction .....	3
2. Summary findings .....	4
2.1 Problems faced by Anganwadi workers:.....	4
2.2 Take Home Ration (THR).....	4
2.3 Dress vs. money .....	7
2.4 Cooked food.....	8
2.5 Preschool.....	8
2.6 Health and Nutrition .....	8
2.7 Community participation .....	9
2.8 Intimidation/coercion .....	9
3. Recommendations .....	10
Appendix - 1 .....	11
Appendix - 2 .....	20

## 1. Introduction

The Araria district administration organized a Social Audit (SA) of Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS) in Pachira Panchayat (block Raniganj) of Araria district between May 28<sup>th</sup> & June 2<sup>nd</sup> 2011. The SA was done in partnership with several people's organizations, NGOs and civil society groups like Advisor Office (Bihar) to Commissioners of the Supreme Court - Right to Food Case, Jan Jagran Shakti Sangathan (JJSS), Public Health Resource Network (PHRN), Action Aid and student volunteers from all over the country mobilised by JJSS.

An eight member social audit team with local volunteers from Gram Panchyat Pachira went around the entire panchayat visiting beneficiaries of the 9 Anganwadi centres (AWCs) run as part of the ICDS. In all the team interviewed 543 beneficiaries<sup>1</sup> (and their family members, where the beneficiary was a child). In addition to this the team also met and did in depth interviews with 8 of the nine sevikas, as the ninth sevika was not in the village till the 1<sup>st</sup> June, 2011.

The ICDS Public Hearing was held on 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2011 at the Idgaah maidan, Pachira and the panellists included:

1. Mr. Saravannan, District Magistrate, Araria
2. SDO, Araria
3. Mr. Chandra Prakash, DPO, ICDS, Araria

Audit Team (with affiliation):

Anurag (ILS Jodhpur)

Arvind (JJSS)

Ashish Ranjan (JJSS)

Kamayani Swami (JJSS)

Kundan Kumar (PHRN)

Manisha (Christ College, Bangalore)

Ritwij (Advisor Office (Bihar) to Commissioners of the Supreme Court, Right to Food Case)

Vibhore Vardhan (Independent Researcher)

---

<sup>1</sup> List of beneficiaries spoken to has been documented and is with the JJSS. To illustrate the point few affidavits have been collected and are attached with the report.

## 2. Summary findings

### 2.1 Problems faced by Anganwadi workers:

#### 2.1.1. Infrastructure

Based on observations of AWCs and interviews with sevikas it was found that very little infrastructure is available with the AWCs. Of the 9 AWCs running in the panchayat only 3 AWCs run in a pukka building, the rest are temporary bamboo structures made on hired land, which give barely any protection from rain. Except for the AWC run in the Pachira middle school premises none of the other centres have a functional toilet facility. In addition these centres do not have a handpump for drinking water but use the handpump of the land owners or a handpump in the neighbouring area. In 50% AWCs the weighing machines given do not work. Three of the 9 centres have not been given utensils for serving or cooking. In some cases the sahayika does not even have a separate space for cooking food. For example Sarla Kumari w/o Kailash Singh sevika of Kendra Sankhya 171 (Godhi Tola, Bhagalpur) stated that her centre does not have a separate space for cooking.

#### 2.1.2 Issues raised by Sevikas

It was often stated by Sevikas that there are many more beneficiaries in the 1000 population they survey, but they have to select only 99 beneficiaries (from all the different categories). An illustrative case in this regard is that of Kanchan Kaushiki w/o Manoj Kumar Mandal, according to whose documents in the house to house survey summary there are 136 children in the 0-3 age group and 152 children in the 3-6 age group but she has to register only 40 children in each of these categories. It is important in this context to look at the Right to Food order of the SC and open mini- AWCs for those children whose needs are not being met by existing centres.

All 8 sevikas met stated that they had not been given their honorarium for the last six months or more.

They also stated that they found it difficult to distribute the Take Home Ration (THR) recommended to them because of differences in rate. For example the Government rate for rice is 15Rs/kg while the workers claimed that they have to purchase rice at 15-20Rs/Kg. In case of dal the Government rate is fixed at 40Rs/kg but it has to be bought at 45-50Rs/Kg.

Sevikas who run AWCs in rented premises have not been given rent for the past four months.

### 2.2 Take Home Ration (THR)

Major ICDS irregularities happened with respect to THR. The beneficiaries were not made aware of their allotted THR, and then were given less than their share. Moreover, the full share was recorded

in the THR register. Every deponent in the Public Hearing complained of not receiving the amount shown on paper. Table 1, given below gives a good picture of the status of THR distribution.

Table 1: Condition of THR distribution at the 9 centres of GP Pachira

S.No.	AWC Code	Name of AWC	Name of Sevika	Total Beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	Beneficiaries that received less THR	Embezzled amount (Rs.) RICE	Embezzled amount (Rs.) DAL	Total Embezzled Amount	Embezzled (%) RICE	Embezzled (%) DAL	Total Embezzlement (%)
1	169	Darshan Rishidev Tola	Anuradha Devi	91	34	32	2	4545	6070	10615	99.3%	99.5%	99.4%
2	168	Musahari Tola, Pachira	Bibi Rafhat Jahan	133	71	64	7	12588.8	16950.0	29538.8	98.9%	99.0%	98.9%
3	164	Sharnarathi Tola, Kajra	Gunjan Kumari	122	62	30	32	10043.0	14060.0	24103.0	90.8%	95.3%	93.4%
4	165	Brahaman Tola, Kamalpur	Sulekha Devi	142	72	17	55	14625.0	19390.0	34015.0	89.7%	92.8%	91.4%
5	166	Adivasi Tola, Kajra	Neelam Kumari	116	47	11	36	5310.0	7280.0	12590.0	85.6%	87.9%	86.9%
6	171	Godhi Tola	Sarla Kumari	159	70	40	30	3821.3	5100.0	8921.3	80.0%	86.7%	83.7%
7	170	Goswami Tola	Anita Devi	140	61	25	32	11092.5	14820.0	25912.5	77.0%	77.1%	77.1%
8	172	Titvaha Tola	Kanchan Kaushaki	165	64	9	55	6818	10960	17793	69.2%	79.7%	75.3%
9	167	Sahiyog Samiti	Saroj Devi	134	62	4	58	6180	8550	14730	54.1%	56.3%	55.4%
TOTAL				1202	543	232	307	75023	103180	178218	82.1%	85.1%	83.9%

The above table gives a summary of the condition of THR distribution at the 9 centres, in addition to the above table Anganwadi wise tables given in Annexure 1, give a clearer picture for each centre. It was found that on an average 83.9% of THR for different categories of beneficiaries (namely malnourished children, severely malnourished children, pregnant and lactating women) was embezzled at the AWCs and it did not reach those in whose names it was documented.

### 2.2.1 Not given any THR as against the entries shown in THR Register

43 % of the total beneficiaries interviewed in Pachira were not given any ration, but the same was recorded in THR register. Some AWCs indulged in this practice more than others like in the Darshan Rishidev Tola (Sevika Anuradha Devi) and Musahari Tola, Pachira (Bibi Rafhat Jahan) 94% and 90% beneficiaries spoken to had received none of the ration claimed to have been distributed to them in

the THR Register. Anganwadi wise break up of (%) beneficiaries who received no THR as per the SA finding is given in the Table 2, below:

Table 2: Anganwadi wise break up of (%) beneficiaries who received no THR

S.No.	AWC Code	Name of AWC	Name of Sevika	Total Beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	(%)Beneficiaries that received no THR
1	169	Darshan Rishidev Tola	Anuradha Devi	91	34	32	94
2	168	Musahari Tola, Pachira	Bibi Rafhat Jahan	133	71	64	90.1
3	171	Godhi Tola	Sarla Kumari	159	70	40	57.1
4	164	Sharnarathi Tola, Kajra	Gunjan Kumari	122	62	30	48.4
5	170	Goswami Tola	Anita Devi	140	61	25	41.0
6	165	Brahaman Tola, Kamalpur	Sulekha Devi	142	72	17	23.6
7	166	Adivasi Tola, Kajra	Neelam Kumari	116	47	11	23.4
8	172	Titvaha Tola	Kanchan Kaushaki	165	64	9	14
9	167	Sahiyog Samiti	Saroj Devi	134	62	4	6
<b>Total</b>				1202	543	232	43

Please also see Affidavits 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 3.1, 5.2, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, 5.10, 5.11, 6.1, 7.1, 7.2, 7.6, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.8, 9.1 and 9.2. All these affidavits are for people who were given no THR as against the claims on paper.

### 2.2.2 Given less THR than showed in the register

The more prevalent case was of beneficiaries receiving less THR than recorded value.

Not a single beneficiary that the team spoke to across all the 9 AWCs received their full share of THR. Though reasons like difference in allotted vs. cost price of THR might explain some data, there was a

gross misrepresentation of benefits provided by AWC, as shown in Table 1, this embezzlement stands on an average at 83.9%, that is for every 100 kgs of cereal (rice and dal) claimed to have been given to beneficiaries about 84 kgs did not reach the beneficiaries.

Please also see Affidavits 5.1, 5.3, 6.1, 6.3, 7.1, 7.2 , 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 8.6, 8.7, 8.9, 8.10, 8.11, 8.12 and 8.13. All these affidavits are for people who were given less THR than shown on paper.

### **2.2.3 Quality of THR**

In most cases it was stated that the THR given even if less in quantity was of reasonable quality and only in a few cases did people complain about the quality of food.

There were two main complaints regarding the quality of THR:

1. Broken rice was given and rice given was not fresh
2. Rice & dal were mixed together in some cases limiting its usage to only preparations where the two are mixed.

### **2.2.4 Inconsistency in measurement scale**

The scale used for measuring & distributing THR varied across AWCs. Instances of 1 kg container, 800 grams Amul dabba, 250 gm glass etc. were reported by interviewees.

### **2.2.5 Beneficiaries changed arbitrarily**

In order to avoid the pressure of selecting 40 THR beneficiaries from a pool that can be 3-4 times larger ( refer section 2.1.2) the AWC *sevikas* have resorted to changing beneficiaries every month. For example, the AWC in *Godhi tola* (#171) had 123 unique malnourished beneficiaries for THR in an 11 month period.

### **2.2.6 Confusion regarding when food is available for pickup**

THR is generally available on 15<sup>th</sup> of every month, but often it is delayed. *Sevikas* send a notification through *Sahayikas* when THR is ready for distribution, but several beneficiaries said that they never receive such notifications. Also, the beneficiaries at times are denied THR if they reach AWC even a day late for the pickup. In both cases the THR still gets attributed to the beneficiary.

## **2.3 Dress vs. money**

It was discovered during the audit that the recent directive to provide Rs. 250 for AWC pre-school beneficiaries' uniform was changed from money delivery to finished product delivery. Many parents were not informed that they were entitled to Rs. 250 for their children's uniform, while others were forced into taking one or two pairs of stitched uniform by making the cash option very difficult to attain (e.g., get it stitched in one day for photograph). Moreover, the uniforms delivered were of low quality, not more than Rs. 100 total as per the parents. (Ref. Affidavit No. 2.1,2.5,2.6,2.7,2.8; 5.9; 6.2,6.3; 7.3, 7.7; 8.9, 8.10 and 8.11).

## 2.4 Cooked food

### Centre not opened regularly

Some parents stated during the public hearing deposed that their children didn't receive any food as the AWC remains closed for 15 out of 30 days or opened irregularly and not all days except Sundays as shown in the AWC records.

### Menu not followed

Though ICDS provides for a balanced menu providing vital nutrients, many parents stated during the interview and in the public hearing that:

- Same item, khichdi, was being cooked everyday and that the recommended menu was not being followed
- Recommended ingredients like vegetables were not being used
- Often, the cooked meal was replaced with cheaper substitutes like biscuit & toffee

### Quality & Quantity

During the interview, parents complained about the poor quality of cooked food at AWCs. Even the cooked *khichdi* is watery & not sufficient quantity is given as their children would ask for food after returning from AWC.

## 2.5 Preschool

Many of the people spoken to in the villages stated that their children were not learning at the AWCs. This aspect of the social audit however could not be quantified, as no learning assessment of the children going to AWCs could be made. Also it is important to note that there were hardly any toys, games and learning aids at most of the centres. Those of the team who visited the centre mostly found the sevikas getting children to recite poems after them. The children did not seem to engage in any free play. For example when a SA team member visited the AWC at Sharnanrthi Tola all the children were sitting and on being asked the sevika did some poems which the children repeated after her, there was no attempt to encourage children to do any actions (children benefit immensely from action songs in pre school days). In the Goswami tola centre the sevika did not even remember the poems she did with the children, which seems unlikely if the centre is opened 25 days a month and everyday there is a one and a half hour learning session. Even if some learning is happening at the centre it is evident that the parents do not think so, which may also be attributed to the fact that parents are not made party to their children's learning.

## 2.6 Health and Nutrition

In most cases where parents were asked if they were aware of their child's malnutrition grade, they did not know, which shows lack of counselling done on the part of sevikas.

### Immunization card not made available

Money asked by ANM: During the public hearing a few testimonies were given that they were charged Rs 5 for the immunization card. This complaint was voiced by many others during the social audit team's visit to the villages and 4 of them gave an affidavit (Ref. Affidavit 5.8). The ANM was named as Mrs. Bindi.

## **2.7 Community participation**

During the depositions made in the public hearing it was clear that panchayat residents were not aware of the existence of the 'poshahar kriyanvayan samiti'. However no quantitative data was collected by the team in this regard.

## **2.8 Intimidation/coercion**

During SA team's visit to *Gehun tola*, it came to light that at the *Goswami tola* AWC (#170) *sevika* Anita Devi had gone around in the *tola* a day prior to the audit & asked all beneficiaries to report that they received full THR all the time (Ref. Affidavit No. 8.1). Similar reports were heard in all other AWCs, including "keep quiet for village pride", "no point reporting, we'll buy the top level", "cover this time, no mistake in future" etc.

### 3. Recommendations

1. Recovery of the amount misappropriated at the centres in distribution of THR to the tune of Rs. 1,78,218 (Rupees One Lakh Seventy Eight Thousand Two Hundred and Eighteen).
2. Stern administrative action should be taken on Anganwadi sevikas where embezzlement has been documented.
3. With regard to the uniform money since this money was misappropriated by distribution of inferior quality stitched clothes beneficiaries a recovery of Rs. 150 per beneficiary should be made from each centre (which would amount to  $150 \times 40 = 60,00$  Rs) and the same should be redistributed to beneficiaries through their postal/bank accounts.
4. A concerted effort should be made to improve the AWC infrastructure.
5. Payment of pending honorarium of all AWWs should be made as soon as possible.
6. The pending reimbursement of centre rent should be made as soon as possible.
7. A revision of rates of rice and dal should be made as soon as possible.
8. All posahar kriyanvayan samitis should be replaced as they did not fulfil their purpose and new committees should be formed in a special gram sabha, the current mukhiya who attended the ICDS public hearing committed to facilitating the holding of a gram sabha, when required.
9. Nigrani committee should be formed for each AWC, as per the provision of the Bihar Panchayati Raj Act.
10. A show cause notice should be issued to the ANM Mrs Bindi for charging and accepting money for immunisation, a free service provided by the Government.
11. Show cause notice to be issued to Lady Supervisor of ICDS in GP Pachira for failure to control gross irregularities at the centre.
12. Show cause notice, suspension and FIR on CDPO for gross negligence of duty, not visiting centres monthly as per Government orders and inability to control gross irregularities.

## Appendix - 1

Table 1: Summary sheet for AWC No. 168

AWC Name: Mushari tola, Pachira

Sevika: Bibi Rafhat Jahan w/o Hasibul Rahman

AWC Number: 168

Sahayika: Bibi Jarina Khatoon w/o Mujib ur Rahman

S. N.	Beneficiary type	Total beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	Beneficiaries that received less THR	Given as per documents (Kg)		Received as per families (Kg)		% Ration reaching beneficiaries		Difference in given vs. received (Kg)		Embezzled amount (Rs.)		Embezzled %		Embezzled amount (Rs.)
						Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	
1	Severely Malnourished	20	13	10	3	328	164	3.25	1.25	0.99	0.76	324.75	162.75	4871	6510	99.0	99.2	11381
2	Malnourished	42	15	13	2	170	90	3	1.5	1.76	1.66	167	88.5	2505	3540	98.2	98.3	6045
3	Pregnant	42	23	23	0	162	81	0	0	0	0	162	81	2430	3240	100.0	100.0	5670
4	Lactating	29	20	18	2	189	93	3.5	1.5	1.85	1.61	185.5	91.5	2782.5	3660	98.1	98.4	6442.5
5	Total	133	71	64	7	849	428	9.75	4.25	1.15	0.99	839.25	423.75	12588.75	16950	98.9	99.0	29538.75

Table 2: Summary sheet for AWC No. 169

AWC Name: Darshan Rishidev tola

Sevika: Anuradha Devi w/o Suresh Prasad Yadav

AWC Number: 169

Sahayika: Ambika Devi w/o Anandi Yadav

S. N.	Beneficiary type	Total beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	Beneficiaries that received less THR	Given as per documents (Kg)		Received as per families (Kg)		% Ration reaching beneficiaries		Difference in given vs. received (Kg)		Embezzled amount (Rs.)		Embezzled %		Embezzled amount (Rs.)
						Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	
1	Severely Malnourished	21	8	8	0	58	29	0	0	0	0	58	29	870	1160	100.0	100.0	2030
2	Malnourished	31	12	12	0	145	72.5	0	0	0	0	145	72.5	2175	2900	100.0	100.0	5075
3	Pregnant	22	9	8	1	57	28.5	1	0.25	1.75	0.8	56	28.25	840	1130	98.2	99.1	1970
4	Lactating	17	5	4	1	45	22.5	1	0.5	2.2	2.2	44	22	660	880	97.8	97.8	1540
5	Total	91	34	32	2	305	152.5	2	0.75	0.66	0.49	303	151.75	4545	6070	99.3	99.5	10615

Table 3: Summary sheet for AWC No. 164

AWC Name: Sharnarhi tola, Kajra

Sevika: Gunjan Kumari w/o Shri Rajkumar

AWC Number: 164

Sahayika: Biwi Majboon Nisa w/o Md Irshad

S. N.	Beneficiary type	Total beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	Beneficiaries that received less THR	Given as per documents (Kg)		Received as per families (Kg)		% Ration reaching beneficiaries		Difference in given vs. received (Kg)		Embezzled amount (Rs.)		Embezzled %		Embezzled amount (Rs.)
						Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	
1	Severely Malnourished	19	9	7	2	204	102	4	1.5	1.96	1.4	200	100.5	3000	4020	98.0	98.5	7020
2	Malnourished	46	19	18	1	287	143.75	1	0.25	0.34	0.1	286	143.5	4290	5740	99.7	99.8	10030
3	Pregnant	30	16	3	13	102	51	27	7.25	26.47	14.2	75.53	43.75	1132.95	1750	73.5	85.8	2882.95
4	Lactating	27	18	2	16	144	72	36	8.25	25	11.45	108	63.75	1620	2550	75.0	88.5	4170
5	Total	122	62	30	32	737	368.75	68	17.25	9.23	4.68	669.53	351.5	10042.95	14060	90.8	95.3	24102.95

Table 4: Summary sheet for AWC No. 165

AWC Name: Brahman tola, Kamalpur

Sevika: Sulekha Devi w/o Digambar Lal Das

AWC Number:165

Sahayika: Lila Devi / Late Harilal Das

S. N.	Beneficiary type	Total beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	Beneficiaries that received less THR	Given as per documents (Kg)		Received as per families (Kg)		% Ration reaching beneficiaries		Difference in given vs. received (Kg)		Embezzled amount (Rs.)		Embezzled %		Embezzled amount (Rs.)
						Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	
1	Severely Malnourished	17	7	2	5	200	100	5.5	2.5	2.75	2.5	194.5	97.5	2917.5	3900	97.3	97.5	6817.5
2	Malnourished	49	30	8	22	616	308	29	11.5	4.7	3.7	587	296.5	8805	11860	95.3	96.3	20665
3	Pregnant	36	22	5	17	172	68	50.5	14.75	29.36	21.69	121.5	53.25	1822.5	2130	70.6	78.3	3952.50
4	Lactating	40	13	2	11	99	46.5	27	9	27.2	19.39	72	37.5	1080	1500	72.7	80.6	2580
5	Total	142	72	17	55	1087	522.5	112	37.75	10.30	7.22	975	484.75	14625	19390	89.7	92.8	34015

Table 5: Summary sheet for AWC No. 166

AWC Name: Adivasi tola, Kajra

Sevika: Neelam Kumari w/o Jaishankar Singh

AWC Number: 166

Sahayika: Daniya Devi w/o Bateshwar Singh

S. N.	Beneficiary type	Total beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	Beneficiaries that received less THR	Given as per documents (Kg)		Received as per families (Kg)		% Ration reaching beneficiaries		Difference in given vs. received (Kg)		Embezzled amount (Rs.)		Embezzled %		Embezzled amount (Rs.)
						Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	
1	Severely Malnourished	32	19	5	14	168	84	25	9.5	14.88	11.3	143	74.5	2145	2980	85.1	88.7	5125
2	Malnourished	47	14	4	10	120	60	18	10.5	15	17.5	102	49.5	1530	1980	85.0	82.5	3510
3	Pregnant	22	6	1	5	45	22.5	8.5	3	18.89	13.33	36.5	19	547.5	760	81.1	86.7	1307.5
4	Lactating	15	8	1	7	81	40.5	8	2	10	5	73	38.5	1095	1540	90.1	95.1	2635
5	Total	116	47	11	36	414	207	59.5	25	14.37	12.08	354.5	182	5310	7280	85.6	87.9	12590

Table 6: Summary sheet for AWC No. 171

AWC Name: Godhi tola, Bhagalpur

Sevika: Sarla Kumari w/o Kailash Singh

AWC Number: 171

Sahayika: Chandan Devi w/o Shankar Ram

S. N.	Beneficiary type	Total beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	Beneficiaries that received less THR	Given as per documents (Kg)		Received as per families (Kg)		% Ration reaching beneficiaries		Difference in given vs. received (Kg)		Embezzled amount (Rs.)		Embezzled %		Embezzled amount (Rs.)
						Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	
1	Severely Malnourished	41	19	3	16	87.25	46	3	1	3.4	2.1	84.25	45	1263.75	1800	96.6	97.8	3063.75
2	Malnourished	123	22	21	1	67	34	2	0.5	2.99	.01	65	33.5	975	1340	97	98.5	2315
3	Pregnant	43	17	10	7	102	43.5	45	15.25	44	35	57	27.75	855	1110	55.9	64.9	1965
4	Lactating	52	12	6	6	62	24	13.5	2.75	22	11.45	48.5	21.25	727.5	850	78.2	88.5	1577.75
5	Total	159	70	40	30	318.25	147	63.5	19.5	19.5	13.27	254.75	127.5	3821.25	5100	80	86.7	8921.25

Table 7: Summary sheet for AWC No. 170

AWC Name: Goswami tola, Raghapur, Pachira

Sevika: Anita Devi w/o Narayan Goswami

AWC Number: 170

Sahayika: Mina Devi w/o Rajendra

S. N.	Beneficiary type	Total beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	Beneficiaries that received less THR	Given as per documents (Kg)		Received as per families (Kg)		% Ration reaching beneficiaries		Difference in given vs. received (Kg)		Embezzled amount (Rs.)		Embezzled %		Embezzled amount (Rs.)
						Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	
1	Severely Malnourished	17	11	3	8	384	192	110.5	60	28.78	31.25	273.5	132	1657.5	5280	71.2	68.8	6937.5
2	Malnourished	56	19	7	12	312.5	156.25	39.5	16	12.64	10.24	273	140.25	4095	5610	87.4	89.8	9705
3	Pregnant	35	17	6	8	135	67.5	52.25	24.5	38.7	36.29	82.75	43	1241.25	1720	61.3	63.7	2961.25
4	Lactating	32	14	9	4	129	64.5	18.75	9.25	14.53	14.34	110.25	55.25	1653.75	2210	85.5	85.7	3863.75
5	Total	140	61	25	32	960.5	480.25	221	109.75	23.01	22.85	73.95	370.5	11092.5	14820	77.0	77.1	25912.5

Table 8: Summary sheet for AWC No. 172

AWC Name: Titvaha tola

Sevika: Kanchan Kaushki w/o Manoj Kumar Mandal

AWC Number: 172

Sahayika: Tariya Devi w/o Kulanand Rishidev

S. N.	Beneficiary type	Total beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	Beneficiaries that received less THR	Given as per documents (Kg)		Received as per families (Kg)		% Ration reaching beneficiaries		Difference in given vs. received (Kg)		Embezzled amount (Rs.)		Embezzled %		Embezzled amount (Rs.)
						Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	
1	Severely Malnourished	24	10	0	10	216	108	48.5	16.5	22.45	15.27	167.5	91.5	2512.5	3660	77.5	84.7	6172.5
2	Malnourished	77	21	3	18	189	110	67	24.5	35.44	22.27	122	86.5	1830	3420	64.6	77.7	5250
3	Pregnant	33	20	4	16	159	79.5	55.5	18.5	34.9	23.27	103.5	61	1552.5	2440	65.1	76.7	4007.5
4	Lactating	31	13	2	11	93	46.5	31.5	10.5	33.87	22.58	61.5	36	922.5	1440	66.1	77.4	2362.5
5	Total	165	64	9	55	657	344	202.5	70	126.66	83.39	454.5	275	6817.5	10960	69.2	79.7	17792.5

Table 9: Summary sheet for AWC No. 167

AWC Name: Sahiyog Samiti, Pachira

Sevika: Saroj Devi w/o Sacchidanand Mandal

AWC Number: 167

Sahayika: Shobha Devi w/o Ramesh Mandal s

S. N.	Beneficiary type	Total beneficiaries	Beneficiaries interviewed	Beneficiaries that received no THR	Beneficiaries that received less THR	Given as per documents (Kg)		Received as per families (Kg)		% Ration reaching beneficiaries		Difference in given vs. received (Kg)		Embezzled amount (Rs.)		Embezzled %		Embezzled amount (Rs.)
						Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	Rice	Dal	
1	Severely Malnourished	27	10	1	9	196	98	72	33.75	36.73	34.44	124	64.25	1860	2570	63.3	65.6	4430
2	Malnourished	65	29	1	28	355	177.5	192	91.25	54.08	51.41	163	86.25	2445	3450	45.9	48.6	5895
3	Pregnant	32	13	1	12	141	70.05	58	26	41.13	36.8	83	44.5	1245	1780	58.9	62.9	3025
4	Lactating	30	10	1	9	69	33.5	27	14.75	39.13	44.03	42	18.75	630	750	60.9	56.0	1380
5	Total	134	62	4	58	761	379.5	349	165.75	45.86	43.86	412	213.75	6180	8550	54.1	56.3	14730

## **Appendix - 2**